	Main Document Pa	ge 1 of 28
1	JEREMY W. FAITH (SBN 190647)	
2	Jeremy@MarguliesFaithLaw.com MONSI MORALES (SBN 235520)	
3	Monsi @MarguliesFaithLaw.com ANNA LANDA (SBN 276607)	
4	Anna @Marguliesfaithlaw.Com MARGULIES FAITH LLP	
5	16030 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 470 Encino, CA 91436	
6	Telephone: (818) 705-2777 Facsimile: (818) 705-3777	
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff, GTL1, LLC	
8	UNITED STATES BA	NKRUPTCY COURT
9	CENTRAL DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA
10	In re	Case No.: 9:19-bk-11573-MB
11	HVI CAT CANYON, INC.,	Chapter 11
12	Debtor.	Adv. No.:
13		COMPLAINT FOR:
14	GTL1, LLC,	(1) BREACH OF CONTRACT;
15	Plaintiff,	(2) BREACH OF COVENANT OF GOOD FAITH & FAIR DEALING;
16	v.	(3) COMMON COUNTS – WORK & LABOR RENDERED;
17	MICHAEL A. McCONNELL, Chapter 11	(4) COMMON COUNTS – MATERIALS RENDERED;
18	Trustee, Defendant.	(5) COMMON COUNTS – ACCOUNT STATED;
19	Delendant.	(6) COMMON COUNTS – QUANTUM MERUIT;
20		(7) COMMON COUNTS – QUANTUM VALEBANT;
21		(8) NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION;
22		(9) DECLARATORY JUDGMENT; AND
23		(10) PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION [11 U.S.C. § 105(a)]
24		Date: SEE SUMMONS
25		Time: SEE SUMMONS Crtrm: SEE SUMMONS
26		
27		
28		

TO THE HONORABLE MARTIN R. BARASH, UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE:

Plaintiff GTL1, LLC ("Plaintiff" or "GTL1"), creditor in the above-captioned chapter 11 bankruptcy case, brings this Complaint against defendant Michael A. McConnell, chapter 11 trustee ("Trustee" or "Defendant") for the bankruptcy estate of HVI CAT Canyon, Inc. ("HVI" or the "Debtor"), and alleges as follows:

STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction over this adversary proceeding and its subject matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157(b) and 1334(b) in that this proceeding arises in, and relates to, the chapter 11 case pending in the Central District of California, Northern Division, titled *In re HVI Cat Canyon, Inc.,* Case Number 9:19-bk-11573-MB (the "Bankruptcy Case"). Plaintiff consents to entry of final orders and judgment by the Bankruptcy Court.
- 2. This adversary proceeding is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157(b)(2)(A), (B), (K), and (O).
- 3. Venue of this adversary proceeding is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1409(a).
- 4. This Court has authority and power to issue the relief requested herein pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 503(b)(1)(A) and 105(a), Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure ("FRBP") 7001 and FRBP 7065, which incorporates Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65, and its general equity powers to issue injunctive relief.

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is and, at all relevant times referenced herein, was a Colorado limited liability company authorized to conduct business in the State of California.
- 6. Defendant Michael A. McConnell is the duly-appointed chapter 11 trustee of the Debtor's bankruptcy estate (the "Estate") in the above-captioned chapter 11 case pending in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California, Northern Division, titled *In re HVI Cat Canyon, Inc.*, Case Number 9:19-bk-11573-MB.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

3 4

1

2

- 4 5 6
- 7 8

9

- 101112
- 1314
- 16

17

15

18 19

2021

2223

2425

2627

28

- 7. On July 25, 2019 (the "Petition Date"), the Debtor initiated the Bankruptcy Case by the filing of a voluntary bankruptcy petition under chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code (commencing at 11 U.S.C. § 101, et. seq., hereinafter referred to as the "Bankruptcy Code") in the Southern District of New York. The case was transferred to the Northern District of Texas, and then to the Central District of California.
- 8. The Debtor is the owner and operator of oil and gas wells in California, located in Santa Barbara County, Orange County, and Kern County.
- 9. The Debtor operated its business as a "debtor in possession" from the Petition Date, through and including October 16, 2019 (the "DIP Period"), when the Court entered its Agreed Order Granting Motion for Appointment of a Chapter 11 Trustee. (Bankruptcy Case docket number ("BK Dkt. No. 409).
- 10. Defendant was appointed as chapter 11 trustee pursuant to an Order of the Bankruptcy Court entered on or about October 22, 2019 ("BK Dkt. No. 431).

TRANSPORATION SERVICES

- 11. Prepetition, Plaintiff provided the Debtor with trucking transportation services for the transportation of crude oil between the Debtor's extraction locations and the purchaser's refinery, as well as delivery of light crude oil diluent (the "Transportation Services").
- 12. Plaintiff's Transportation Services included transportation of oil charged at the rate of \$3.00 per barrel and for demurrage fees at the rate of \$80 per hour (the "Transportation Services Agreement").
- 13. Post-petition, Plaintiff continued to provide the Estate with the Transportation Services (the "Post-Petition Transportation Services").
- 14. Following the appointment of the Trustee, Plaintiff continued to provide Transportation Services and operate under the Transportation Services Agreement pursuant to the explicit demands for such services by the Trustee. The Trustee

- 26, 2019.
 - 15. Plaintiff sent monthly invoices (each, an "Invoice," and collectively, the "Invoices") to the Trustee for the Post-Petition Transportation Services. Attached as "Exhibit A" are true and correct copies of Plaintiff's Invoices for its post-petition services.

demanded and accepted the Transportation Services through and including December

- 16. Each Invoice reflects the monthly charges incurred by Plaintiff, including, among other things, the Post-Petition Transportation Services, which are evidenced by Plaintiff's highway transportation receipts (the "Run Tickets") for the applicable month.
- 17. Each Run Ticket was signed by a representative or agent of the Debtor or, subsequently, the Trustee, acknowledging that the Post-Petition Transportation Services were provided and the amounts due therefor.
- 18. Plaintiff has not received any objections, formal or informal, to the amounts invoiced to the Estate.
- 19. During multiple communications, the Trustee (or his agent/representative) explicitly promised to pay for Plaintiff's post-petition services.
- 20. The total amount of fees due and owing to Plaintiff by the Estate for Plaintiff's Post-Petition Transportation Services provided between August 1, 2019 and December 31, 2019, is \$625,796.00.

EQUIPMENT RENTAL

- 21. Prepetition, Plaintiff rented certain vehicles and equipment (the "Rented Equipment") to the Debtor. Such rental services included the payment of insurance premiums for the specific leased equipment (the "Equipment Rental Agreement") (the Transportation Services agreement and Equipment Rental Agreement are collectively referred to herein as the "Agreements").
- 22. The Equipment Rental Agreement included a \$15,000 per month flat fee for the use of specific vehicles, the use of vacuum trucks charged on an hourly basis, and reimbursement for insurance premiums on the Rented Equipment of \$10,480.24 per month.

4

5

6

- 7 8
- 9 10
- 11

12

- 13
- 14 15
- 16
- 17 18
- 19
- 20 21
- 22
- 23
- 24 25
- 26 27
- 28

- 23. Plaintiff continued to honor the Equipment Rental Agreement after the Petition Date (the "Post-Petition Equipment Rental") in the ordinary course of the Debtor's business.
- 24. Following the appointment of the Trustee, Plaintiff continued to provide the Rented Equipment under the Equipment Rental Agreement pursuant to the explicit demands for the use of the Rented Equipment by the Trustee.
- 25. The Trustee demanded and accepted use of the Rented Equipment through December 31, 2019, and beyond.
- 26. The Trustee returned the Rented Equipment weeks, and in some cases, months after rejecting the Equipment Rental Agreement.
- 27. The monthly Invoices sent by Plaintiff to the Debtor and the Trustee includes amounts due and owing to Plaintiff pursuant to the Equipment Rental Agreement for the Post-Petition Equipment Rental.
- 28. Plaintiff has not received any objections, formal or informal, to the amounts invoiced to the Estate.
- 29. During multiple communications between Plaintiff and the Trustee (or his agent/representative), the Trustee promised to pay for the use of Plaintiff's equipment.
- 30. The total amount of fees due and owing to Plaintiff by the Estate for the Post-Petition Equipment Rental provided between August 1, 2019 and December 31, 2019, is \$353,921.20.
- 31. The Estate owes additional amounts for Rented Equipment that continued to be used after January 1, 2020 but has not been paid for in an amount to be determined subject to proof.

BUDGETED EXPENSES FOR PLAINTIFF'S POST-PETITION SERVICES

32. During the Bankruptcy Case, the Debtor and, subsequently, the Trustee sought and obtained authority to use of cash collateral in accordance with an approved budget (as amended, the "Budget").

33.

items specifically covering the estimated costs for Plaintiff's post-petition services and equipment. Specifically, pursuant to the Court and UBS-approved Budget, the Debtor and the Estate were authorized to pay Plaintiff more than \$955,000, in the aggregate, for line-item expenses of (1) "Transportation," which included charges for the Post-Petition Transportation Services, Rented Equipment, and insurance, and (2) "Vacuum Trucks," for use of Plaintiff's vacuum trucks.

From the Petition Date through January 2020, the Budget included line

34. The post-petition fees incurred by the Estate for the Transportation Services and Rented Equipment total \$979,717.20 (the "GTL1 Invoiced Amounts"). Of the GTL1 Invoiced Amounts, the Estate has only paid \$379,733 pursuant to allowed amounts under the Budget for August and September of 2019. Since taking over control of the Estate in October of 2019, the Trustee has failed and refused to make any further payments to GTL1, which outstanding amounts total \$599,984.20.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Breach of Contract)

- 35. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates paragraphs 1 through 34 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 36. The Agreements are valid and binding agreements for goods and services that are subject to enforcement in accordance with the Agreements' terms.
- 37. Plaintiff has performed its material obligations under the terms of the Agreements.
- 38. The Trustee breached the Agreements with Plaintiff by failing to pay for the post-petition services and Rented Equipment provided to the Estate by Plaintiff.
- 39. Plaintiff has demanded payment from the Estate for all the services rendered under the Agreements, and by the filing of this Complaint, hereby further demands payment of the amounts due and owing. The Trustee has failed and refused, and continues to fail and to refuse, to pay for goods and services provided and rendered by Plaintiff.

5 6

7 8

9

11

10

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

40. Due to the Trustee's breach of the Agreements, Plaintiff has suffered damages in an amount not less than \$599,984.20.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Breach of Covenant of Good Faith & Fair Dealing)

- 41. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates paragraphs 1 through 40 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 42. The Agreements with the Estate are valid and binding agreements for goods and services that are subject to enforcement in accordance with the Agreements' terms.
 - 43. The covenant of good faith and fair dealing is implied in the Agreements.
- 44. By breaching the Agreements without any justifiable cause, Defendants deprived Plaintiff of the benefit of the Agreements.
- 45. Plaintiff has performed its material obligations under the terms of the Agreements.
- 46. Defendants had a fundamental obligation not to do anything that would have the effect of destroying or injuring the right of Plaintiff, as the other party to the Agreements, to receive the fruits of the Agreements.
- 47. Defendants injured the rights of the Plaintiff by failing to pay for the postpetition goods and services provided to the Estate by the Plaintiff.
- 48. Due to the Defendants' breach of the Agreements, the Plaintiff has been deprived the benefits of the Agreements in an amount not less than \$599,984.20, plus interest at the applicable federal rate of interest.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Common Counts – Work & Labor Rendered)

- 49. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates paragraphs 1 through 48 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
 - 50. Plaintiff provided the Estate Post-Petition Transportation Services between

- August 1, 2019 and December 31, 2019, as requested by the Debtor and the Trustee.
- 51. Plaintiff has demanded payment from the Estate for all Post-Petition Transportation Services rendered, and by the filing of this Complaint, hereby further demands payment of the amounts due and owing.
- 52. Defendants have failed and refused, and continue to fail and to refuse, to pay the reasonable value for Post-Petition Transportation Services provided by Plaintiff.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Common Counts – Materials Rendered)

- 53. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates paragraphs 1 through 52 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 54. Plaintiff provided the Estate with the Rented Equipment, as requested by and for the benefit of Defendants.
- 55. Plaintiff has demanded payment from the Estate for the Estate's use of the Rented Equipment, and by the filing of this Complaint, hereby further demands payment of the amounts due and owing.
- 56. Defendants have failed and refused, and continue to fail and to refuse, to pay the reasonable value for the use of the Rented Equipment provided by Plaintiff.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Common Counts – Account Stated)

- 57. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates paragraphs 1 through 56 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 58. The Agreements were based on pre-petition transactions between the parties for Transportation Services and the Rented Equipment that continued after the Debtor filed the Bankruptcy Case.
- 59. Plaintiff sent monthly Invoices to the Debtor and the Trustee, thereby providing an account of the fees and costs incurred and owing for Plaintiff's post-petition goods and services.
 - 60. Plaintiff has not received any objections, formal or informal, to the amounts

1 stated in the Invoices. 2 61. The Debtor's and Trustee's assent to the Invoices are implied as no 3 objections were made in a reasonable time. 4 62. The Debtor's and Trustee's assent to the Invoices may be inferred by 5 reason of the signatures by agents or representatives of the Debtor and, subsequently, the Trustee on the Run Tickets. 6 7 63. Neither the Debtor nor the Trustee has paid Plaintiff for the Post-Petition 8 Transportation Services and the Post-Petition Equipment Rental in an amount not less 9 than \$599,984.20. 10 SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF 11 (Common Counts – Quantum Meruit) 12 64. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates paragraphs 1 through 63 of this 13 Complaint as if fully set forth herein. 14 65. Plaintiff provided the Estate with the Post-Petition Transportation Services 15 pursuant to explicit and implicit requests for the services by Defendants. 16 66. Defendant implicitly promised to pay for the provision of the Post-Petition 17 Transportation Services. 67. 18 The signatures by agents or representatives of the Debtor and, 19 subsequently, the Trustee on the Run Tickets evidence the Post-Petition Transportation 20 Services provided by Plaintiff to the Estate and constitute promises by the Estate to pay 21 for such services. 22 68. Plaintiff is entitled to the reasonable value of the Post-Petition 23 Transportation Services in an amount to be determined by proof. 24 SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF 25 (Common Counts – Quantum Valebant) 26 69. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates paragraphs 1 through 68 of this 27 Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

Plaintiff provided the Estate with the Rented Equipment pursuant to explicit

70.

28

- 71. Defendant implicitly promised to pay for the use of the Rented Equipment.
- 72. Plaintiff is entitled to the reasonable value of the Estate's use of the Rented Equipment in an amount to be determined by proof.

EIGHTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Negligent Misrepresentation)

- 73. Plaintiff realleges and incorporate paragraphs 1 through 72 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 74. After his appointment in the Bankruptcy Case, the Trustee demanded that Plaintiff continue to provide the Post-Petition Transportation Services and Post-Petition Equipment Rental.
- 75. The Trustee, as the fiduciary of the Estate, owed a duty of care to Plaintiff as an administrative creditor of the Estate, providing post-petition goods and services for the continued operation of the Debtor's business and the benefit of the Estate.
- 76. The Trustee breached his duty of care to Plaintiff by representing to Plaintiff that the Estate would pay for the Post-Petition Transportation Services and the Post-Petition Equipment Rental to induce Plaintiff to continue providing such services and goods to the Estate.
- 77. The Trustee knew or should have known that he did not intend to pay Plaintiff for the Post-Petition Transportation Services and the Post-Petition Equipment Rental.
- 78. Plaintiff was justified in relying on the Trustee's representations that Plaintiff would be paid for the Post-Petition Transportation Services and the Post-Petition Equipment Rental.
- 79. As a result of Plaintiff's justifiable reliance on the Trustee's statements, Plaintiff provided Post-Petition Transportation Services and Post-Petition Equipment Rental from October 17, 2019 to December 31, 2019, which services and goods were worth not less than \$377,528.10.

NINTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

2

3

(Declaratory Judgment- Valid Administrative Claim)

4

80. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates paragraphs 1 through 79 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

5 6

7

expense claim against the Estate, in the amount of \$599,984.20, for the Post-Petition

Transportation Services and Post-Petition Equipment Rental provided by Plaintiff to and

Plaintiff is informed and believes that it holds a valid administrative

8

for the benefit of the Estate.

81.

- 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16
- 82. An actual and substantial controversy has arisen and now exists between the Plaintiff, on the one hand, and the Defendants, on the other hand, concerning whether the Plaintiff holds a valid administrative expense claim against the Estate. Plaintiff contends that it holds a valid administrative expense claim against the Estate pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 503(b)(1)(A) for unpaid services and rented equipment Plaintiff provided to the Estate post-petition because the administrative expense claim (a) arose from a transaction with the Debtor, as the Debtor-In-Possession, and later the Trustee; and (b) directly and substantially benefited the Estate. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis, alleges that Defendants dispute this contention.

19 20

18

83. Accordingly, an actual and justiciable controversy has arisen and exists between the Plaintiff, on the one hand, and Defendants, on the other hand. A judicial resolution is warranted and necessary to enable the parties to know the nature and extent of their legal rights and obligations.

2223

21

84. By reason of the foregoing, Plaintiff is entitled to declaratory relief that it holds a valid administrative expense claim against the Estate in the amount of \$599,984.20 pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 503(b)(1)(A).

2425

TENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

26

(Preliminary Injunction Under 11 U.S.C. § 105(a))

27

28

85. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates paragraphs 1 through 84 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

- 86. Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 105(a), Bankruptcy Rule 7001(7) and Civil Rule 65, incorporated by Bankruptcy Rule 7065, the Bankruptcy Court should issue a temporary restraining order and a preliminary injunction restraining the Trustee from disbursing further payments of all other administrative claims, including all further professional fees, until and unless Plaintiff receives payment on its administrative expense claim *pari passu*.
- 87. The Plaintiff has a reasonable likelihood of success on the merits of its request for allowance of its administrative expense claim because the administrative expense claim (a) arose from a transaction with the Debtor, as the Debtor-In-Possession, and later the Trustee; and (b) directly and substantially benefited the Estate.
- 88. Plaintiff may suffer irreparable harm if the injunctive relief is not granted because, if other administrative claimants continue to receive disbursements from the Estate and Plaintiff's claim is not paid *pari passu*, there is significant risk that the assets of the Estate may be depleted, rendering the Estate administratively insolvent, and Plaintiffs will have no recourse or opportunity to receive payment on its claim of equal priority under the Bankruptcy Code.
- 89. Plaintiff will suffer substantial harm if the Defendants are permitted to continue to distribute considerable amounts of the Estate's cash to the professionals in this Bankruptcy Case without equal treatment afforded to Plaintiff on account of its administrative expense claim
- 90. Conversely, the Trustee and Estate will not be harmed by the injunctive relief sought, as the Estate is required to pay all administrative priority claims *pro rata*, and the injunctive relief sought requires that the Trustee and the Estate comply with the provisions of the Bankruptcy Code setting forth the priorities for distributions to creditors.
- 91. The threatened injury to the Plaintiff outweighs the damage the proposed injunction may cause to the Defendants, as it merely requires payments to be made to Plaintiff *pari passu* with other administrative expense creditors, and delays further disbursement of funds to holders of administrative expense claims until the validity of

Plaintiff's administrative claim is made.

-

- 92. The requested injunction would not be against the public interest and, in fact, supports the public interest by ensuring that one of the fundamental tenets of the Bankruptcy Code equal treatment of creditors sharing priority status is adhered to in this Bankruptcy Case.
- 93. Plaintiff has no speedy or adequate remedy available at law for the relief it is seeking. Injunctive relief is therefore necessary to afford Plaintiff meaningful redress of its rights.
- 94. By reason of the foregoing, Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief restraining the Trustee from making further distributions on account of allowed administrative expenses claims of the Estate until determination of the validity of Plaintiff's administrative expense claim can be made and Plaintiff can receive distributions *pari passu*.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff respectfully pray for a judgment against the Defendant as follows:

- a. Awarding Plaintiff damages caused by the Defendants' breach of their obligations under the Agreements with Plaintiff, in an amount to be determined at trial and believed to be not less than \$599,984.20, together with costs, disbursements, and any applicable pre-judgment interest.
- b. An order of restitution in the amount equal to the reasonable value of the services and goods Plaintiff provided Defendants, and for which Plaintiff has not been paid.
- c. Awarding Plaintiff damages caused by the Defendant's negligent misrepresentation, in an amount to be determined at trial and believed to be not less than \$377,528.10, together with costs, disbursements, and any applicable pre-judgment interest.
- d. Declaratory relief that Plaintiff holds a valid administrative expense claim under 11 U.S.C. § 503(b)(1)(A).

1	e.	A temporary restraini	ng order and preliminary injunction barring the						
2	Trustee from disbursing further payments of all other administrative claims, including all								
3	further professional fees, until a determination is made with respect to Plaintiff's								
4	administrat	ive expense claim.							
5	f.	Awarding Plaintiff cos	sts of suit incurred herein;						
6	g.	Recovery of attorney	s' fees and other damages as allowed by law; and,						
7	h.	For such other and fu	urther relief as this Court deems just and proper.						
8	DATED (2	MADOULIEG FAITULL D						
9	DATED: 8	September 1, 2020	MARGULIES FAITH LLP						
10			By: /s/ Jeremy W. Faith .						
11			Jeremy W. Faith Monsi Morales						
12			Attorneys for Plaintiff GTL1, LLC						
13									
14									
15									
16									
17									
18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									
24									
25									
26									
27									
28									
	i								

Exhibit A

INVOICE

Invoice Date:

August 31, 2019

Bill To:

HVI Cat Canyon, Inc.

Description	Unit	Quantity	Price	Amount
Lease Transfer - Security to Belridge	BBL		\$3.00	\$0
Crude Oil	BBL	39,876	\$3.00	\$119,626
LCR to HVI	BBL	6,798	\$3.00	\$20,392
Vehicle Leasing per Month	NA	15,000		\$15,000
Demurrage	HRS	403.75	\$80.00	\$32,300
Vehicle DMV	NA			\$0
				\$0
Vacuum Trucks	HRS	596.50	\$80.00	\$47,720
			MANAGEMENT	
			Language Control of Co	

\$ 235,038

OK L

INVOICE GTL1HVI0919

Invoice Date:

September 30, 2019

Bill To:

HVI Cat Canyon, Inc.

Description	Unit	Quantity	Price	Amount
Lease Transfer - Security to Belridge	BBL		\$3.00	\$0
Crude Oil	BBL	29,318	\$3.00	\$87,955
LCR to HVI	BBL	5,930	\$3.00	\$17,791
Vehicle Leasing per Month	NA	15,000		\$15,000
Demurrage	HRS	510.50	\$80.00	\$40,840
Vehicle DMV	NA			\$0
				\$0
Vacuum Trucks	HRS	694.00	\$80.00	\$55,520

217,106

014

\$

INVOICE

GTL1HVI103119

Invoice Date:

October 31, 2019

Bill To:

HVI Cat Canyon, Inc.

Description	Unit	Quantity	Price	Amount
Lease Transfer - Security to Belridge	BBL		\$3.00	\$0
Crude Oil	BBL	35,608	\$3.00	\$106,823
LCR to HVI	BBL	8,022	\$3.00	\$24,066
Vehicle Leasing per Month	NA	15,000	1	\$15,000
Demurrage	HRS	418.00	\$80.00	\$33,440
Vehicle DMV	NA			\$0
				\$0
Vacuum Trucks	HRS	854.50	\$80.00	\$68,360

247,689

OK /

\$

INVOICE

Invoice Date:

November 30, 2019

Bill To:

HVI Cat Canyon, Inc.

Description	Unit	Quantity	Price	a Amount See
Lease Transfer - Security to Belridge	BBL		\$3.00	\$0
Crude Oil	BBL	27,047	\$3.00	\$81,140
LCR to HVI	BBL	6,666	\$3.00	\$19,999
Vehicle Leasing per Month	NA	15,000	1	\$15,000
Demurrage	HRS	351.25	\$80.00	\$28,100
Vehicle DMV	NA			\$0
				\$0
Vacuum Trucks	HRS	655.50	\$80.00	\$52,440
,				

\$ 196,679

OK /

INVOICE GTL1HVI1219 December 31, 2019

Invoice Date:

Bill To:

HVI Cat Canyon, Inc.

\$

30,804

Description	Unit	Quantity	Price	Amount
Lease Transfer - Security to Belridge	BBL		\$3.00	\$0
Crude Oil	BBL	1,036	\$3.00	\$3,107
LCR to HVI	BBL	1,666	\$3.00	\$4,997
Vehicle Leasing per Month	NA	15,000	1	\$15,000
Demurrage	HRS	65.25	\$80.00	\$5,220
Vehicle DMV	NA			\$0
Hot Loads	BBL			\$0
Vacuum Trucks	HRS	31.00	\$80.00	\$2,480
	-			

2

Exhibit A

INVOICE

Invoice Date:

August 31,2019

Bill To: HVI Cat Canyon, Inc.

Description	Month	Year	Amount
Share of Auto Insurance	August	2,019	\$10,480.24
The control of the co		and the same of th	

S

10,480.24

INVOICE

Invoice Date: September 30 ,2019

Bill To: HVI Cat Canyon, Inc.

Description	Month	Year	Amount
Share of Auto Insurance	September	2,019	\$10,480.24

\$ 10,480.24

Exhibit A

INVOICE

Invoice Date:

October 31,2019

Bill To: HVI Cat Canyon, Inc.

Description	Month	Year	Amount
Share of Auto Insurance	October	2,019	\$10,480.24

\$ 10,480.24

Exhibit A

INVOICE

Invoice Date: November 31,2019

Bill To: HVI Cat Canyon, Inc.

Description	Month	Year	Amount
Share of Auto Insurance	November	2,019	\$10,480.24
-a-variety of the state of the			

\$ 10,480.24

Exhibit A

INVOICE

Invoice Date:

December 31,2019

Bill To: HVI Cat Canyon, Inc.

Description	Month	Year	Amount
Share of Auto Insurance	December	2,019	\$10,480.24

\$

10,480.24

PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business address is: 16030 Ventura Blvd., Suite 470, Encino, CA 91436

A true and correct copy of the foregoing document entitled COMPLAINT FOR: (1) BREACH OF CONTRACT; (2) BREACH OF COVENANT OF GOOD FAITH & FAIR DEALING; (3) COMMON COUNTS – WORK & LABOR RENDERED; (4) COMMON COUNTS – MATERIALS RENDERED; (5) COMMON COUNTS – ACCOUNT STATED; (6) COMMON COUNTS – QUANTUM MERUIT; (7) COMMON COUNTS – QUANTUM VALEBANT; (8) NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION; (9) DECLARATORY JUDGMENT; AND (10) PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION [11 U.S.C. § 105(a)] will be served or was served (a) on the judge in chambers in the form and manner required by LBR 5005-2(d); and (b) in the manner stated below:

105(a)] will be served or was and (b) in the manner stated I		ers in the form and manner required by LBR 5005-2(d);
Orders and LBR, the foregoin On <u>September 1, 2020,</u> I chec	g document will be served by the cocked the CM/ECF docket for this b	TRONIC FILING (NEF): Pursuant to controlling General court via NEF and hyperlink to the document. cankruptcy case or adversary proceeding and determined to receive NEF transmission at the email addresses stated
		⊠ Service information continued on attached page
or adversary proceeding by polass, postage prepaid, and a	ed the following persons and/or elacing a true and correct copy ther	ntities at the last known addresses in this bankruptcy case reof in a sealed envelope in the United States mail, first adge here constitutes a declaration that mailing to the judge iled.
JUDGE: Honorable Martin R.	Barash, U.S. Bankruptcy Court, 2	1041 Burbank Blvd., Suite 342, Woodland Hills, CA 91367
		☐ Service information continued on attached page
for each person or entity servicely following persons and/or entitisuch service method), by factorials	ed): Pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 5 and ies by personal delivery, overnight simile transmission and/or email as	FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION OR EMAIL (state method //or controlling LBR, on, I served the t mail service, or (for those who consented in writing to s follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration completed no later than 24 hours after the document is
		☐ Service information continued on attached page
I declare under penalty of per	jury under the laws of the United S	States that the foregoing is true and correct.
September 1, 2020 Date	Helen Cardoza Printed Name	/s/ Helen Cardoza Signature
		-

This form is mandatory. It has been approved for use by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California.

ADDITIONAL SERVICE INFORMATION (if needed):

1. TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF):

ATTORNEY FOR CREDITOR: Anthony A Austin anthony.austin@doj.ca.gov

ATTORNEY FOR CREDITOR: William C Beall will@beallandburkhardt.com, carissa@beallandburkhardt.com

ATTORNEY FOR INTERESTED PARTY: Bradley D Blakeley blakeley@blakeleylawgroup.com,

bradleydblakeley@gmail.com

ATTORNEY FOR CREDITOR: Alicia Clough aclough@loeb.com, mnielson@loeb.com,ladocket@loeb.com

ATTORNEY FOR INTERESTED PARTY: Alan D Condren berickson@seedmackall.com

ATTORNEY FOR CREDITOR: Alec S DiMario alec.dimario@mhllp.com, debra.blondheim@mhllp.com;

Syreeta.shoals@mhllp.com

ATTORNEY FOR CREDITOR: Jeremy Faith Jeremy@MarguliesFaithlaw.com, Helen@MarguliesFaithlaw.com;

Vicky@MarguliesFaithlaw.com; Angela@MarguliesFaithlaw.com

ATTORNEY FOR INTERESTED PARTY: Karl J Fingerhood karl.fingerhood@usdoj.gov, efile_ees.enrd@usdoj.gov

ATTORNEY FOR INTERESTED PARTY: H Alexander Fisch Alex.Fisch@doj.ca.gov

ATTORNEY FOR INTERESTED PARTY: Don Fisher dfisher@ptwww.com, tblack@ptwww.com

ATTORNEY FOR U.S. TRUSTEE: Brian D Fittipaldi brian.fittipaldi@usdoj.gov

ATTORNEY FOR INTERESTED PARTY: Ellen A Friedman efriedman@friedmanspring.com,

khollander@friedmanspring.com

ATTORNEY FOR INTERESTED PARTY: Gisele M Goetz gmgoetz@hbsb.com,

ggoetz@collegesoflaw.edu;cecilia@hbsb.com

ATTORNEY FOR CREDITOR: Karen L Grant kgrant@silcom.com

ATTORNEY FOR INTERESTED PARTY: Ira S Greene Ira.Greene@lockelord.com

ATTORNEY FOR CREDITOR: Matthew C. Heyn Matthew.Heyn@doj.ca.gov, mcheyn@outlook.com

ATTORNEY FOR CREDITOR: Brian L Holman b.holman@mpglaw.com

ATTORNEY FOR INTERESTED PARTY: Tracy K Hunckler thunckler@daycartermurphy.com,

cgori@daycartermurphy.com

ATTORNEY FOR TRUSTEE: Eric P Israel eisrael@DanningGill.com.

danninggill@gmail.com;eisrael@ecf.inforuptcy.com

ATTORNEY FOR INTERESTED PARTY: Evan M Jones ejones@omm.com, ejones@omm.com

ATTORNEY FOR INTERESTED PARTY: Alan H Katz akatz@lockelord.com

ATTORNEY FOR CREDITOR: John C Keith john.keith@doj.ca.gov

ATTORNEY FOR INTERESTED PARTY: Jeannie Kim jekim@sheppardmullin.com, Isemeraro@sheppardmullin.com

ATTORNEY FOR CREDITOR: Mitchell J Langberg mlangberg@bhfs.com, dcrudup@bhfs.com

TRUSTEE: Michael Arthur McConnell (TR) Michael.mcconnell@kellyhart.com

ATTORNEY FOR INTERESTED PARTY: Brian M Metcalf bmetcalf@omm.com, brian-metcalf-9774@ecf.pacerpro.com

ATTORNEY FOR INTERESTED PARTY: Jerry Namba nambaepiq@earthlink.net,

G23453@notify.cincompass.com;annie_cunningham@ymail.com

ATTORNEY FOR CREDITOR: David L Osias dosias@allenmatkins.com, bcrfilings@allenmatkins.com,

kdemorest@allenmatkins.com.csandoval@allenmatkins.com

ATTORNEY FOR INTERESTED PARTY: Darren L Patrick dpatrick@omm.com, darren-patrick-

1373@ecf.pacerpro.com;sindelicato@omm.com;ejones@omm.com

ATTORNEY FOR CREDITOR COMMITTEE: Jeffrey N Pomerantz jpomerantz@pszjlaw.com

ATTORNEY FOR INTERESTED PARTY: Benjamin P Pugh bpugh@ecg.law,

mhamburger@ecg.law;calendar@ecg.law

ATTORNEY FOR CREDITOR: Edward S Renwick erenwick@hanmor.com, iaguilar@hanmor.com

ATTORNEY FOR CREDITOR: J. Alexandra Rhim arhim@hrhlaw.com

ATTORNEY FOR INTERESTED PARTY: Todd C. Ringstad becky@ringstadlaw.com, arlene@ringstadlaw.com

ATTORNEY FOR CREDITOR: Mitchell E Rishe mitchell.rishe@doj.ca.gov

ATTORNEY FOR TRUSTEE: George E Schulman GSchulman@DanningGill.Com,

danninggill@gmail.com;gschulman@ecf.inforuptcy.com

ATTORNEY FOR TRUSTEE: Zev Shechtman zshechtman@DanningGill.com,

danninggill@gmail.com;zshechtman@ecf.inforuptcy.com

ATTORNEY FOR TRUSTEE: Sonia Singh ssingh@DanningGill.com, danninggill@gmail.com,

ssingh@ecf.inforuptcy.com

ATTORNEY FOR INTERESTED PARTY: Daniel A Solitro dsolitro@lockelord.com, ataylor2@lockelord.com

ATTORNEY FOR INTERESTED PARTY: Ross Spence ross@snowspencelaw.com,

janissherrill@snowspencelaw.com;brittanyDecoteau@snowspencelaw.com

ATTORNEY FOR INTERESTED PARTY: Ross Spence ross@snowspencelaw.com,

janissherrill@snowspencelaw.com;brittanyDecoteau@snowspencelaw.com

ATTORNEY FOR CREDITOR: Christopher D Sullivan csullivan@diamondmccarthy.com,

mdomer@diamondmccarthy.com; kmartinez@diamondmccarthy.com; quentin.roberts@diamondmccarthy.com; erika.shannaroberts.com; and the contract of the contract of

on@diamondmccarthy.com;aiemee.low@diamondmccarthy.com

ATTORNEY FOR INTERESTED PARTY: Jennifer Taylor jtaylor@omm.com

ATTORNEY FOR TRUSTEE: John N Tedford, IV itedford@DanningGill.com,

danninggill@gmail.com;jtedford@ecf.inforuptcy.com

ATTORNEY FOR INTERESTED PARTY: Salina R Thomas bankruptcy@co.kern.ca.us

ATTORNEY FOR CREDITOR: Meagan S Tom meagan.tom@lockelord.com, autodocket@lockelord.com;

taylor.warren@lockelord.com;autodocketdev@lockelord.com

ATTORNEY FOR INTERESTED PARTY: Fred Whitaker | Ishertzer@cwlawyers.com, spattas@cwlawyers.com

ATTORNEY FOR CREDITOR: William E. Winfield wwinfield@calattys.com, scuevas@calattys.com

ATTORNEY FOR INTERESTED PARTY: Richard Lee Wynne richard.wynne@hoganlovells.com,

tracy.southwell@hoganlovells.com;cindy.mitchell@hoganlovells.com

ATTORNEY FOR CREDITOR: Emily Young pacerteam@gardencitygroup.com,

rjacobs@ecf.epiqsystems.com;ECFInbox@epiqsystems.com

ATTORNEY FOR TRUSTEE: Aaron E de Leest adeleest@DanningGill.com,

danninggill@gmail.com;adeleest@ecf.inforuptcy.com